Modélisation de l'environnement des étoiles jeunes

Geoffroy Lesur

avec

IPAG Institut de Planétologie et d'Astrophysique de Grenoble



Jérome Bouvier (IPAG) Antoine Riols (Postdoc, IPAG) Etienne Martel (PhD, IPAG) George Pantolmos (Postdoc, IPAG)





stablished by the European Commission

Protoplanetary discs



Credit: C. Burrows and J. Krist (STScl), K. Stapelfeldt (JPL) and NASA



Artist view

Young stars light curves





Structures are common Example: 12 discs observed by the ALMA telescope (Chile)



[Huang+ 2018]

Disc structure



Numerical method I- PLUTO- a finite volume shock-capturing code

Equations of motion

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot \rho \boldsymbol{u} = 0,$$

$$\partial_t \rho \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla \cdot \left[\rho \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{u} + c_s^2 \rho + \boldsymbol{B}^2 / 2 - \boldsymbol{B} \otimes \boldsymbol{B}\right] = -2\rho \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{u} + \rho \boldsymbol{g},$$

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{B} + \nabla \times \left[\boldsymbol{u} \times \boldsymbol{B} + \eta_0 \boldsymbol{J} + \eta_H \boldsymbol{J} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{B}} - \eta_A \boldsymbol{J} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{B}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}\right] = 0$$

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0$$

General conservative form

$$\partial_t Q + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{F}(Q) = 0$$

Integrate in space and time:

$$Q_i^{n+1} = Q_i^n + dt(F_{i+1}^n - F_i^n)$$

Flux are computed solving a Riemann problem [Mignone+ 2007, A&A 170:228]



Numerical method II- PLUTO- features & scalability

- Code in ANSI C
- Checkpointing
- Open source (<u>http://plutocode.ph.unito.it</u>/)
- MPI parallelisation



Figure 1.1: Strong scaling of PLUTO on a periodic domain problem with 512^3 grid zones. Left panel: average execution time (in seconds) per step vs. number of processors. Right panel: speedup factor computed as T_1/T_N where T_1 is the (inferred) execution time of the sequential algorithm and T_N is the execution time achieved with N processors. Code execution time is given by black circles (+ dotted line) while the solid line shows the ideal scaling.

[PLUTO user guide]

very good scalability up to 30 000 cores

Workflow



Disc structure



SPIDI Simulations (MHD)



SPIDI webpage: spidi-eu.org

- MHD modeling of the environment around young Suns
- with Dahu supercomputer
- 1500 6000 hrs (60
 250 days) on a single CPU



Courtesy G. Pantolmos

SPIDI Simulations (MHD)



SPIDI webpage: spidi-eu.org

3D example of **SPIDI** simulations (MHD)



disk-planet interaction



- 3D Star Planet Inner-DIsk simulations
- Understand observational signatures of young planets in the inner parts (< 0.1 au) of protoplanetary disks Courtesy G. Pantolmos

SPIDI Simulations (RT)



SPIDI webpage: spidi-eu.org



Gas falls from the disk to stellar surface, making a bright impact point

SPIDI Simulations (RT)



SPIDI webpage: spidi-eu.org

Accretion spot

Inner rim of the disk

Disc structure



Disc+wind simulation on Dahu





Global 3D simulations General General





Testing ring formation against observations



Numerical model



Observations



[Riols & Lesur in prep]

17

Contribution of ERC projects to Dahu



Origin: project overheads (~500 cores)

Pros:

- No justification required at the EU level
- No depreciation issues
- No need to mention it in the proposal

Cons:

 Represents a large fraction of the overhead budget (but depends on the size of your project)



Origin: eligible costs (640 cores)

Pros:

ERC covers the cost of the machine (in principle)+overheads

Cons:

- Depreciation makes your life difficult (need to have the machine bought, set up and running from day 1 of the project)
- Need for a detailed record of the usage of the machine
- A priori incompatible with best effort job (you are not allowed to share your machine)

Bottom line: buying clusters on European projects is a tricky business...



Need a code able to run on Exascale HPCs...

Looking for a dev. engineer to port PLUTO on heterogeneous architecture (CPU, GPU, XeonPhi, etc), using the Kokkos framework:



Thank you!